Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/622,686	KONONEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Wayne Rupert</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 July 2003 23 July 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		,
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a we be record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
1/1 - RI FORMANI MILL		
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINE (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature - if appropriate)
(Applica	mo, applicant o representative	oignature ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner and Mr. Rupert discussed claim amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance in view of the previous cited art and references submitted in the IDS of Dec '02 and June '03. The amendments were agreed upon by the examiner and Mr. Rupert. Mr. Rupert supplied a complete listing of claims including the amendments via FAX. .